

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/021,410

02/10/98

RIGLER

R

P58841US1

HM22/0122

JACOBSON PRICE HOLMAN AND STERN THE JENIFER BUILDING 400 SEVENTH STREET N W WASHINGTON DC 20004 -

EXAMINER

PHAM, M
ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1641

DATE MAILED:

01/22/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

-			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/021,410	RIGLER ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Minh-Quan K. Pham	1641
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	December 2000 .	
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>85</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>85</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 February 1998</u> is/are objected to by the Examiner.			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☑ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(e).			
Attachment(s)			
16) 🔲 Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	19) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

The preliminary amendment filed December 27, 2000, has been entered as Paper No. 14.

Continued Prosecution Application

The request filed on May 22, 2000, for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/021,410 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

Claim Status

Claim 85 is pending. Claims 86-118 are cancelled in Paper No. 14.

Specification

If applicant continues to prosecute the application, revision of the specification and claims to present the application in proper form is required. While an application can be amended to make it clearly understandable, no subject matter can be added that was not disclosed in the application as originally filed. The specification should be divided into sections as described below. Further, the specification lacks a Brief Description of the Drawings section.

Applicant is advised on how to arrange the content of the specification.

Content of Specification

- (a) <u>Title of the Invention</u>: See 37 CFR 1.72(a). The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification. It should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words.
- (b) Cross-References to Related Applications: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.

- (c) <u>Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research and Development</u>: See MPEP § 310.
- (d) Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix": See 37CFR 1.96(c) and MPEP § 608.05. The total number of microfiche and the total number frames should be specified.
- (e) <u>Background of the Invention</u>: The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:
 - (1) <u>Field of the Invention</u>: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."
 - (2) <u>Description of the Related Art</u>: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."
- (f) Brief Summary of the Invention: A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.
- (g) <u>Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s)</u>: A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.
- (h) Detailed Description of the Invention: A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. This item may also be titled "Best Mode for Carrying Out the Invention." Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and use of the invention by a person skilled in the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should refer to another

patent or readily available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.

- (i) Claim or Claims: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The claim or claims must commence on separate sheet. (37 CFR 1.52(b)). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation. There may be plural indentations to further segregate subcombinations or related steps.
- (j) <u>Abstract of the Disclosure</u>: A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 250 words or less on a separate sheet following the claims.
- (k) <u>Drawings</u>: See 37 CFR 1.81, 1.83-1.85, and MPEP § 608.02.
- (l) <u>Sequence Listing</u>: See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the invention as claimed pertains only to a device for performing fluorescence correlation spectroscopy, while the abstract describes the device and a method for identifying one or a small number of molecules. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 250 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 250 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: A device for the evaluation of biopolymer fitness.

Drawings

This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 54 referred to on page 72, line 20. Correction is required.

The drawings are objected to because the y-axis label is not present in Figure 17a and b. Correction is required.

Applicant is required to submit a proposed drawing correction in reply to this Office action. However, formal correction of the noted defect can be deferred until the application is allowed by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 85 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The recitation of "and/or" in claim 85 render the claim vague and indefinite because it is not clear what the applicant intends to be encompassed by the claims

The terms "small" in claim 85 is a relative term which render the claim indefinite. The term is not defined in the claims or the specification. Further, one of ordinary skill in the art would not be able to determine the scope of the invention.

The unit " μ m" in claim 85 is not defined in the claims or in the specification; therefore, it renders the claim indefinite. It is not clear whether the unit is micrometer (micron) or micromolal. If the unit is micromolar, then the abbreviation should be " μ M", not " μ m".

The volume unit "1" in claim 85 is not defined in the claims or the specification; therefore, it renders the claim indefinite. This unit is not a commonly known or acceptable unit of volume.

Claim 85 recites the limitation "the focusation", "the laser light excitation", "the object plane", "the beam path", and "the fluorescent radiation" in lines 7, 12, 14, and 15, respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 85 and 90-94 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either Rigler et al., Kask et al., Sorscher et al., Thompson et al., or Myer et al.

Art Unit: 1641

Rigler et al., Kask et al., Sorscher et al., Thompson et al., or Myer et al. disclose a FCS apparatus wherein the apparatus comprises a laser beam generator, a focusing lens, a dichroic (semitransparent) mirror, a fluorescence detector, and a pinhole aperture. Therefore, either Rigler et al., Kask et al., Sorscher et al., Thompson et al., Myer et al. anticipate the instant invention as claimed.

Conclusion

This is a CPA of applicant's earlier Application No. 09/021,410. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh-Quan K. Pham, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 305-1444. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 8 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on (703) 305-3399. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for regular communications and (703) 308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Minh-Quan K. Pham, Ph.D. January 10, 2001

LONG V. LE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

01/10/0/